

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/380,086	PEREZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anne R. Kubelik	1638	

All Participants:

(1) Anne R. Kubelik.

(2) Philip DuBois.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 August 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner's amendments that would have made this case allowable were offered to applicant's representative. However, because Applicant would not be available until the end of the month, they were refused. The proposed amendments are attached.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)